

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,193	12/19/2001	Clifford B. Willis	SUN-P5860-NAK	9038	
22200 75	590 10/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP			BUI, H	BUI, HUNG S	
702 MARSHALL STREET SUITE 310			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
REDWOOD C	ITY, CA 94063		2841		
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				UN				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/040,193	WILLIS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit	-				
		Hung S Bui	2841					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s				
THE - External after of the control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 L	December 2001.						
2a)□		s action is non-final.						
3)	,—		ers, prosecution as to the mer	its is				
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	٦.	ı					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 8-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	e				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of II 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	'				

Application/Control Number: 10/040,193

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, lines 4-5, it is not clear whether the one or more computer expansion cards are intended to be the same expansion card as those recited in line 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-9, 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Roscoe et al. [US 6,498,731].

Regarding claims 1 and 5, Roscoe et al. disclose a stabilizer (figure 6) for manipulation of computer expansion card (210) within an expansion card enclosure (240) comprising:

- a base (204) configured for attachment to the expansion card enclosure; and

- a tab/slot (238) extension from the base for engaging a card cage (218) wherein the card cage is maintaining in a first position for manipulating an expansion card in the card cage when engaged with the tab (figure 6).

Regarding claims 2, 15 and 17-18 Roscoe et al. disclose the expansion card being operable with a computer system (abstract) coupled to the expansion card enclosure when the card cage is attached to the expansion card enclosure in a second position (figure 7).

Regarding claims 4 and 16, Roscoe et al. disclose the base being configured for removable attachment to the expansion card enclosure (column 4, lines 52-58).

Regarding claim 8, Roscoe et al. disclose an enclosure (241) for computer expansion card (210) connectable to a computer system cooperation of the expansion cards comprising:

- a removable cage (218) comprising connectors (216) for the expansion cards; and
 - a stabilizer (204).

Regarding claim 9, Roscoe et al. disclose the removable cage being stabilized by the stabilizer in a first position difference from the second position in which the expansion card is operable within the removable cage (abstract).

Regarding claim 11, Roscoe et al. disclose the stabilizer comprising a tab (238) extending from a first surface thereof wherein the tab is configured to facilitate stabilization of the removable cage between the tab and the first surface (figure 8).

Regarding claims 12-13, Roscoe et al. disclose means (254) for securing the stabilizer to the enclosure via captive screws (242).

Regarding claim 14, Roscoe et al. an enclosure being no more rack unit in height (figure 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roscoe et al. in view of Ross et al. [US 6,570,770].

Regarding claims 3, 10 and 19, Roscoe et al. disclose everything claimed except a status indicator configured to indicate status of the expansion card enclosure.

Ross et al. disclose a removable module (26) engaging a connector (figure 2a) having an indicator light (32) on handle (28) thereof.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an indicator on the stabilizer assembly of Roscoe et al. in order to indicate the status of expansion card enclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,193

Art Unit: 2841

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hung S Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-2102.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571) 272-1957. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact/the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/21/04

HB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800